

: United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/480,107 | 01/10/2000 | YONG CHEOL PARK | 0465-0656P-S | 3918 |
| 7590 03/11/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP | | | PATEL, GAUTAM | |
| P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2655 | -20 |
| | ٠ | | DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/480,107 | PARK, YONG CHEOL | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Gautam R. Patel | 2655 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply one priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) to cause the application to become | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja | anuary 2004. | | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-234 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 36 and 45 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | · | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe ion is required if the draw | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attac | ned Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in tity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | n Application No en received in this National Stage | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | • • | 🗀 | | | | |
| 2) | the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | Paper I | w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24, 27, and 30-47 are pending for the examination. Claims 30-47 are newly added.

RCE STATUS

2. The request filed on 1-5-04 for Request for continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

4. Claims 1-6, 9-10, 30-32, 35, 37-41, 44 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukushima et al., US patent 5,111,444 (hereafter <u>Fukushima</u>) in view of Ohata et al., US patent 6,469,978 (hereafter <u>Ohata</u>).

As to claim 1, Fukushima discloses invention as claimed [see fig. 1-3], including steps of resetting addresses [location] information of the supplementary spare area, comprising steps of:

Art Unit: 2655

resetting [by formatting] location information of a supplementary spare area [fig. 1, secondary spare area, "SSs"] in response to formatting request to indicate at least theta the supplementary spare area is not assigned, wherein the supplementary spare area has a variable size and the defect management area [fig. 1, volume control area]; and

Fukushima discloses all of the above steps and elements, including primary and secondary spare areas and defect management area. Fukushima does not specifically disclose that supplementary spare area can be used as user data area after formatting.

However, it is well known in the art that most system use the spare area for other uses so as not waste the real estate which is at premium in discs like these. Also Ohata clearly discloses that this is well known in the art:

formatting the optical recording medium in response to the formatting request at least to use the supplementary spare area as a user data area after formatting [col. 8, lines 21-49].

Both Fukushima and Ohata are interested in improving the defect management mechanism on the disc, both are disclosing formatting and PDL, SDL and management area and variable size spare area in their systems.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have realized that the disc recording area is at premium and it would be advisable to use spare portion of the disc for data recording. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used concept of converting spare area to user area as taught by Ohata in the system of Fukushima because one would be motivated to save money and real estate on disc by using spare portion of disc for user data recording.

NOTE: Since ratio of user area to the spare area is kept constant, inherently supplementary spare area that is not being used gets converted to user area. To maintain same ratio.

5. As to claim 2, Ohata discloses:

determining if a supplementary spare area has been assigned prior to said resetting step (a) and said formatting step (b), wherein said steps (a) and (b) are

Art Unit: 2655

performed if a supplementary spare area has been assigned [col. 8, lines 21-49 and col. 9, lines7-46].

6. As to claim 3, Ohata discloses:

said resetting step (a) comprises converting the location information [address] of the supplementary spare area to predetermined reset value [col. 8, lines 21-49 and col. 9, lines7-46].

- As to claim 4, Ohata discloses:
 the predetermined value is a lowest value [col. 12, lines 19-34].
- As to claim 5, Ohata discloses:
 the predetermined value is a lowest value [col. 12, lines 19-34].
- As to claim 6, Ohata discloses:
 The predetermined value [DDS capacity] is a specific code based upon a predetermined agreement [col. 12, lines 19-48].
- As to claim 9, Fukushima discloses:
 the location information of the supplementary spare area is stored in a SDL block
 [fig. 1, SSd] of a DMA of the optical recording medium [col. 8, lines 37-59]

11. As to claim 10, Fukushima discloses:

the location information includes start [beginning addresses and end addresses of the supplementary spare area on the recording medium [col. 8, line 60 to col. 9, line 38] .NOTE: Partition ID inherently has start [beginning] and end addresses for the purpose of partition.

12. As to claim 30, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 3, supra.

Art Unit: 2655

13. As to claim 31, Fukushima discloses:

The optical recording medium is a DVD-RAM [col. 1, lines 7-14].

14. As to claim 32, Fukushima discloses:

The first information corresponds to a PDL [primary defect list] [col. 7, lines 9-33, fig. 1] and the second information corresponds to a SDL [secondary defect list] [col. 8, lines 37-53; fig. 1].

- 15. As to claim 35, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 10, supra.
- 16. Regarding claim 37 and 38, although Fukushima does not specifically disclose that the addresses are specifically varied such that end address is fixed and start address varies and resetting the value is 00h to indicate area assigned or not assinged to the extent claimed. However Fukushima teaches that the addresses are varied [since size of the spare area changes]. The limitations in claims 37 and 38 do not define a patentable distinct invention over that in Fukushima since both the invention as a whole and Fukushima are directed to storing defective sectors in PDL and SDI and assigning different spare areas. The fixing of which end of the address and selecting certain value within the memory to represent assignment of certain area presents no new or unexpected results, so long as the spare area is varied in a successful way. If one has more room on the upper part one varies the start address if one less room on the upper part one varies the end address. Therefore, to have 00h as the indicator for empty or full space or starting point would have been routine experimentation and optimization in the absence of criticality.
- 17. Claims 7-8, 11-23, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over combination of Fukushima and Ohata as applied to claims 1-6,

Application/Control Number: 09/480,107 Page 6

Art Unit: 2655

9-10, 30-32, 35, 37-41, 44 and 46-47 above, and further in view of Ito et al., US. Patent 5,404,357 (hereafter Ito).

As to claim 7, Fukushima & Ohata discloses all of the above elements including a primary and secondary spare area and defect management area, they also disclose formatting or certification. The combination does not specifically disclose well known details of the certification and associate details to the extent claimed such as updating SDL into PDL.

However Ito clearly discloses:

step (b) includes registering sectors judged to have defects into a new PDL (primary defect list), if the optical recording medium s to be formatted with certification [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d].

All Fukushima, Ohata and Ito interested in improving the defect management mechanism on the disc, both are disclosing formatting and PDL, SDL and management area and variable size spare area in their systems.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide the system of Fukushima and Ohata with details of certification as taught by Ito. The application or use of the certification processing as taught by Ito would have been obvious, because the certification performs the same function in the same way as the certification of Fukushima and Ohata's system, and is an equivalent element. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the certification of Ito was equivalent and an obvious alternative to certification of system of Fukushima and Ohata.

18. As to claim 8, Ito discloses:

said formatting step (b) includes registering all sectors previously registered in an old SDL (secondary defect list) into new PDL, if the optical recording medium s to be formatted without certification [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d].

19. As to claim 11, Ito discloses:

Art Unit: 2655

said formatting step (b) further includes disposing an old SDL existed prior to said formatting step (b), if the optical recording medium is to be formatted with certification [validation] [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d]..

20. As to claim 12, Ito discloses:

said formatting step (b) reformats the optical recording medium by moving defective sectors registered in a first list to a second list [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d].

21. As to claim 13, Ito discloses:

the first list and second list are, respectively, an SDL (secondary defect list) and a PDL (primary defect list) for the optical recording medium [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d].

22. As to claim 14, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 12, supra.

23. As to claim 15, Ito discloses:

registering sectors judged to have defects into new PDL if the recording medium is to be formatted with certification [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d].

24. As to claim 16, Ito discloses:

disposing an old SDL of the secondary defect information if the recording medium is to be formatted with certification [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d].

25. As to claim 17, Ito discloses:

registering all sectors previously registered in an old SDL of secondary defect information into the new PDL if the recording medium is to be formatted without certification [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d].

Application/Control Number: 09/480,107 Page 8

Art Unit: 2655

26. As to claim 18, Ito discloses:

the location information of the supplementary spare area is stored in a SDL blocks of a DMA of the recording medium [col. 6, line 31 to col. 7, line 26 and fig. 4]

27. As to claim 19, Ito discloses:

the location information includes start [start-pointer] and end [end-pointer addresses of the supplementary spare area on the recording medium [col. 9, line 40 to col. 10, line 8].

28. As to claim 20, Ohata discloses:

converting the location information of the supplementary spare area to a predetermined value [col. 8, lines 21-49 and col. 9, lines7-46].

29. As to claim 21, Ohata discloses:

the predetermined value is a lowest value, a highest value, or a predetermined code [col. 12, lines 19-48].

30. Regarding claims 22-23, Fukushima, Ohata and Ito discloses the transferring and resetting steps. The combination also discloses that sectors are listed in ascending order according to track and sector numbers. The combination does not specifically disclose that the resetting step is performed first or second as compared to transferring step. The limitations in claims 22 and 23 do not define a patentable distinct invention over that in combination of Fukushima, Ohata and Ito, since both the invention as a whole and the above combination are directed to assigning the sectors in order they are available and choose sectors which are near to the original sector thus keeping track movement to minimum for saving time. The order in which the transferring or resetting takes place presents no new or unexpected results. Also the combination clearly indicates that "the replacement list has been sorted in the ascending order, but it will be appreciated that the replacement list may be sorted in other orders, for example, descending

Art Unit: 2655

order. Therefore, to have any order in which to transferring and resetting steps are done in the combination would have been routine experimentation and optimization as taught by the combination, in the absence of criticality.

31. As to claim 33, Ito discloses:

Converting a location of a defective unit listed in the second information to the first information [col. 9, line 41 to col. 10, line 66 and fig. 6d].

32. Claims 24, 27 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukushima, Ohata and Ito as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of AAPA (Applicants Admitted Prior Art) (specification pages 1-9 and figs. 1-5B (hereafter AAPA).

As to claim 24 and 27, Fukushima discloses:

the supplementary spare area is assigned a variable size [col. 8, lines 4-29].

Fukushima discloses all of the above elements, including assigning variable size to spare areas. Fukushima does not specifically discloses that spare are is located close to a lead-out area to the extent claimed.

However, it is well known in the art that placing spare area is a matter of system design and spare area is placed where it is most convenient and has easy access is a matter of choice and it is generally allocated in each zone or group.

Also AAPA clearly discloses

supplementary spare area is located close to a lead-out area of the recording optical medium [page 5-6, specification. Both Fukushima and AAPA are interested in improving the arrangement of the disc areas in most efficient way.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have realized that the placement of the supplementary spare area is not critical to over scheme of things and can be placed where it is most convenient. It would have been obvious to have placed supplementary spare area close to a lead-out area in the system of Fukushima as taught by AAPA because one would be motivated to arrange

Application/Control Number: 09/480,107 Page 10

Art Unit: 2655

spare area in most efficient way in the system of Fukushima and provide better access to the supplementary spare area.

33. As to claim 34, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 24, supra.

- 34. As to claims 39-44 and 46-47, they are apparatus claims corresponding to claims 30-35 and 37-38 respectively and they are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 30-35 and 37-38 respectively, supra.
- 35. Applicant's arguments with respect to above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

36. Claims 36 and 45 are objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 36 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a method of formatting recording medium which includes steps of "fixing the end address which is located close to a lead-out area of the recording medium, while the start address is varied and is moved toward an inner radius of the recording medium, upon the variance of the size of the supplementary spare area". It is noted that the closest prior art, Fukushima et al. shows a similar apparatus which has variable size spare area. However Fukushima fails to disclose fixing of end address and moving of the start address inner radius as claimed.

Contact information

37. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2655

(703) 308-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To can be reached on (703) 305-4827.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or the group Customer Service section whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

afterty

Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2655

March 6, 2004